1. Introduction

This is the Funding Strategy Statement (FSS) of the Wiltshire Pension Fund ("the Fund"), which is administered by Wiltshire County Council, ("the Administering Authority").

It has been reviewed by the Administering Authority in collaboration with the Fund's Actuary, Hymans Robertson, and after consultation with the Fund's employers and Investment Adviser. This revised version replaces the previous FSS and is effective from 31 March 2011.

1.1 Regulatory Framework

Scheme members' accrued benefits are guaranteed by statute. Members' contributions are fixed in the Regulations at a level which covers only part of the cost of accruing benefits. Employers pay the balance of the cost of delivering the benefits to members. The FSS focuses on the pace at which these liabilities are funded and, insofar as is practical, the measures to ensure that employers or pools of employers pay for their own liabilities.

The FSS forms part of a framework which includes:

- the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations(Administration) Regulations 2008 (SI 2008, No. 239);
- the Rates and Adjustments Certificate, which can be found appended to the Fund actuary's triennial valuation report;
- actuarial factors for valuing early retirement costs and the cost of buying extra service; and
- the Statement of Investment Principles.

Operating within this framework, the Fund's Actuary carries out triennial valuations to set employers' contributions and provides recommendations to the Administering Authority when other funding decisions are required, for example when employers join or leave the Fund. The FSS applies to all employers participating in the Fund.

1.2 Reviews of FSS

The FSS is reviewed in detail at least every third year (in which triennial valuations are carried out), with the next full valuation due to be finalised by March 2014 based on data at 31 March 2013.

The FSS is a summary of the Fund's approach to funding liabilities. It is not an exhaustive statement of policy on all issues. If you have any queries please contact David Anthony in the first instance at david anthony@ wiltshire.gov.uk or on 01225 713620.

_____ Page No. 1 Feb 2011

2. Purpose

2.1 Purpose of FSS

The Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM) (now the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG)) stated that the purpose of the FSS is:

- "to establish a clear and transparent fund-specific strategy which will identify how employers' pension liabilities are best met going forward;
- to support the regulatory framework to maintain as nearly constant employer contribution rates as possible; and
- to take a prudent longer-term view of funding those liabilities."

These objectives are desirable individually, but may be mutually conflicting.

This statement sets out how the Administering Authority has balanced the conflicting aims of affordability of contributions, transparency of processes, stability of employers' contributions, and prudence in the funding basis.

2.2 Purpose of the Fund

The Fund is a vehicle by which scheme benefits are delivered. The Fund:

- receives contributions, transfer payments and investment income;
- pays scheme benefits, transfer values and administration costs.

One of the key objectives of a funded scheme is to reduce the variability of pension costs over time for employers compared with an unfunded (pay-as-you-go) alternative.

The roles and responsibilities of the key parties involved in the management of the pension scheme are summarised in Annex B.

2.3 Aims of the Funding Policy

The objectives of the Fund's funding policy include the following:

- to achieve a funding level of 100%, both at the whole Fund level and for the share attributable to individual employers, within a timescale that is prudent and affordable;
- to ensure that sufficient liquid funds are available to meet all benefits as they fall due for payment;
- not to restrain unnecessarily the investment strategy of the Fund so that the Administering Authority can seek to maximise investment returns (and hence minimise the cost of the benefits) for an appropriate level of risk;
- to help employers recognise and manage pension liabilities as they accrue;
- to maximise the degree of stability in the level of each employer's contributions to the extent that the Administering Authority (in consultation with the actuary) is able to do so in a prudent and justifiable way;
- to use reasonable measures to reduce the risk to other employers and ultimately to the Council Tax payer from an employer defaulting on its pension obligations; and
- to address the different characteristics of the disparate employers or groups of employers to the extent that this is practical and cost-effective.



Page No. 3 Feb 2011

3. Solvency Issues and Target Funding Levels

3.1 Derivation of Employer Contributions

Employer contributions are normally made up of two elements:

- a) the estimated cost of future benefits being accrued, referred to as the "future service nate"; plus
- b) an adjustment for the funding position (or "solvency") of accrued benefits relative to the Fund's solvency target, "past service adjustment". If there is a surplus there may be a contribution reduction; if a deficit a contribution addition, with the surplus or deficit spread over an appropriate period.

The Fund's actuary is required by the regulations to report the *Common Contribution Rate*¹, for all employers collectively at each triennial valuation. It combines items (a) and (b) and is expressed as a percentage of pay. For the purpose of calculating the Common Contribution Rate, the surplus or deficit under (b) is currently spread over a period of 20 years.

The Fund's actuary is also required to adjust the Common Contribution Rate for circumstances which are deemed "peculiar" to an individual employer². It is the adjusted contribution rate which employers are actually required to pay. The sorts of peculiar factors which are considered are discussed in Section 3.5.

In effect, the *Common Contribution Rate* is a notional quantity. Separate future service rates are calculated for each employer or pool together with individual past service adjustments according to employer (or pool) -specific spreading and phasing periods.

For some employers it may be agreed to pool contributions, see Section 3.7.8.

Annex A contains a breakdown of each employer's contributions following the 2010 valuation for the financial years 2011/12, 2012/13 and 2013/14. It also identifies which employers' contributions have been pooled with others.

Any costs of early retirements other than on the grounds of ill-health must be paid as lump sum payments at the time of the employer's decision in addition to the contributions described above (or by instalments shortly after the decision).

Employers' contributions are expressed as minima, with employers able to pay regular contributions at a higher rate. Employers should discuss with the Administering Authority before making one-off capital payments.

_

¹ See Regulation 77(4)

² See Regulation 77(6)

3.2 Solvency and Target Funding Levels

The Fund's actuary is required to report on the "solvency" of the whole fund at least every three years.

'Solvency' for ongoing employers is defined to be the ratio of the market value of assets to the value placed on accrued benefits on the Fund actuary's *ongoing funding basis*. This quantity is known as a funding level.

The ongoing funding basis is that used for each triennial valuation and the Fund actuary agrees the financial and demographic assumptions to be used for each such valuation with the Administering Authority.

Where an admission agreement for an admission body, that is not a Transferee Admission Body and with no guarantor, is likely to terminate within the next 5 to 10 years or lose its last active member within that timeframe, the fund reserves the right to set contribution rates by reference to liabilities valued on a gilts basis (i.e. using a discount rate that has no allowance for potential investment outperformance relative to gilts). The target in setting contributions for any employer in these circumstances is to achieve full funding on a gilts basis by the time the agreement terminates or the last active member leaves in order to protect other employers in the fund. This policy will increase regular contributions and reduce, but not entirely eliminate, the possibility of a final deficit payment being required when a cessation valuation is carried out.

The Fund also reserves the right to adopt the above approach in respect of those admission bodies with no guarantor, where the strength of covenant is considered to be weak but there is no immediate expectation that the admission agreement will cease.

The Fund operates the same target funding level for all ongoing employers of 100% of its accrued liabilities valued on the ongoing basis. Please refer to paragraph 3.9 for the treatment of departing employers.

3.3 Ongoing Funding Basis

(a) Life expectancy

The demographic assumptions are intended to be best estimates of future experience in the Fund based on past experience of LGPS funds which participate in CLUBVITA, the longevity analytics service used by the Fund, and endorsed by the actuary.

The longevity assumptions that have been adopted at this valuation are a bespoke set of "VitaCurves", produced by the CLUBVITA's detailed analysis, which are specifically tailored to fit the membership profile of the Fund. These curves are based on the data provided by the Fund for the purposes of this valuation.

It is acknowledged that future life expectancy and, in particular, the allowance for future improvements in life expectancy, is uncertain. There is a consensus amongst actuaries, demographers and medical experts that life expectancy is likely to improve in the future. Allowance has been made in the ongoing valuation basis for future improvements in line with "medium cohort" and a 1% pa minimum underpin to future reductions in mortality rates.

The combined effect of the above changes from the 2007 valuation approach, is to add around one year of life expectancy on average. The approach taken is considered reasonable in light of the long term nature of the Fund and the assumed level of security underpinning members' benefits.

(b) Investment return / discount rate

The key financial assumption is the anticipated return on the Fund's investments. The investment return assumption makes allowance for an anticipated out-performance of returns from equities relative to Government bonds. There is, however, no guarantee that equities will out-perform bonds. The risk is greater when measured over short periods such as the three years between formal actuarial valuations, when the actual returns and assumed returns can deviate sharply.

Given the very long-term nature of the liabilities, a long term view of prospective returns from equities is taken.

For the purpose of the triennial funding valuation at 31 March 2010 and setting contribution rates effective from 1 April 2011, the Fund actuary has assumed that future investment returns earned by the Fund over the long term will be 1.6% per annum greater than the return available from investing in government bonds at the time of the valuation (this is the same as that used at the 2007 valuation). The long term in this context would be 20 to 30 years or more. In the opinion of the Fund actuary, based on the current investment strategy of the Fund, an asset out-performance assumption (AOA) of 1.6% per annum is within a range that would be considered acceptable for the purposes of the funding valuation.

(c) Salary growth

Pay for public sector employees will be frozen by Government until 2012, with a flat increase of £250 being applied to all those earning less than £21,000 pa. Although this "pay freeze" does not officially apply to local government employers, it has been suggested that they are expected to show similar restraint in respect of pay awards. Based on an analysis of the membership in LGPS funds, the average expected increase in pensionable pay across all employees should be around 1% pa for the next two years. Therefore the salary increase assumption at the 2010 valuation has been set to 1% pa for 2010/11 and 2011/12. After this point, the assumption will revert back to RPI plus 1.5% p.a. This compares to RPI plus 2% p.a. assumed at the previous valuation and reflects an expectation of lower long term salary growth.

(d) Pension increases

The Chancellor of the Exchequer announced in his Emergency Budget on 22 June 2010 that the consumer prices index (CPI) rather than the retail prices index (RPI) will be the basis for future increases to public sector pensions in deferment and in payment. This proposed change has been allowed for in the valuation calculations as at 31 March 2010.

At the previous valuation, we derived our assumption for RPI from market data as the difference between the yield on long-dated fixed interest and index-linked government bonds. At this valuation, we propose to adjust this market-derived rate downwards by 0.5% pa to allow for the "formula effect" of the difference between RPI and CPI. Basing pension increases on CPI rather than RPI will serve to reduce the value placed on the

Fund's liabilities.

(e) General

The same financial assumptions are adopted for all employers for whom the ongoing basis is deemed to be appropriate.

The demographic assumptions vary by type of member and so reflect the different membership profiles of employers.

3.4 Future Service Contribution Rates

The future service element of the employer contribution rate is calculated on the ongoing valuation basis, with the aim of ensuring that there are sufficient assets built up to meet future benefit payments in respect of future service.

The future service rate is calculated separately for all the employers, although employers within a pool will pay the contribution rate applicable to the pool as a whole. Where it is considered appropriate to do so, the Administering Authority reserves the right to set a future service rate by reference to liabilities valued on a lower discount rate (most usually for Admission Bodies in the circumstances outlined in 3.2).

The approach used to calculate each employer's future service contribution rate depends on whether or not new entrants are being admitted. Only Admission Bodies have the power not to automatically admit all eligible new staff to the Fund, depending on the terms of their Admission Agreements and employment contracts.

3.4.1 Employers that admit new entrants

The employer's future service rate will be based upon the cost (in excess of members' contributions) of the benefits which employee members earn from their service each year. Technically these rates will be derived using the *Projected Unit Method* of valuation with a one year control period.

If future experience is in line with assumptions, and the employer's membership profile remains stable, this rate should be broadly stable over time. If the membership of employees matures (e.g. because of lower recruitment) the rate would rise.

3.4.2 Employers that do not admit new entrants

Certain Admission Bodies have closed the scheme to new entrants. This is expected to lead to the average age of employee members increasing over time and hence, all other things being equal, the future service rate is expected to increase as the membership ages.

To give more long term stability to such employers' contributions, the *Attained Age* funding method is normally adopted. This will limit the degree of future contribution rises by paying higher rates at the outset.

Both funding methods are described in the Actuary's report on the valuation.

Both future service rates include an allowance for expenses of administration to

Page No. 7 Feb 2011

the extent that they are borne by the Fund and include an allowance for benefits payable on death in service and ill health retirement.

3.5 Adjustments for Individual Employers

The individual employers do not contribute at the Common Rate of contribution. Rather the Common Rate is amended using employer specific adjustments to produce individual employer contribution rates. These individual employer rates are made up of employer-specific future service contribution rates, and employer-specific deficit adjustments designed to remove their deficit. The deficit and future service rate reflect the following factors:

- the level of past contributions relative to the cost of accruals of benefits;
- different liability profiles of employers (e.g. mix of members by age, gender, parttime/full-time, manual/non manual);
- the effect of any differences in the valuation basis on the value placed on the employer's liabilities;
- any different deficit/surplus spreading periods or phasing of contribution changes;
- the difference between actual and assumed rises in pensionable pay;
- the difference between actual and assumed increases to pensions in payment and deferred pensions;
- the difference between actual and assumed retirements on grounds of ill-health from active status;
- the difference between actual and assumed amounts of pension ceasing on death;
- the additional costs of any non ill-health retirements relative to any extra payments made;

Each of these factors will have an impact - either at the valuation date or over the period between each triennial valuation.

Actual investment returns achieved on the Fund between each valuation are applied across all employers within the unitisation model.

3.6 Asset Share Calculations for Individual Employers

Individual employer asset shares are calculated on a monthly basis by the Administering Authority and passed to the Fund Actuary when required. The system uses monthly income and expenditure amounts split by each employer and is operated by the Administering Authority in accordance with a procedure note, which allows for complications such as intra-fund transfers of liabilities. The system provides a full audit trail of calculations.

3.7 Stability of Employer Contributions

3.7.1 General comments on stability of contributions

In setting employer contribution rates, the Administering Authority must balance the aims of stability and affordability with the requirement to take a prudent, longer-term view of funding and ensure the solvency of the Fund. The more secure the employer, the more emphasis can be placed on stability of employer contributions without jeopardising the Administering Authority's commitment to prudent stewardship of the Fund. For the most secure, long term employers an explicit stabilisation overlay based on a risk-based, stochastic valuation approach is used (see para 3.7.2).

For less secure and shorter term employers (principally, but not exclusively, the admission bodies) it is generally not possible to achieve the same degree of stability in employer contribution rates without compromising on prudent stewardship. However, the Administering Authority may use other measures to achieve a greater degree of stability of employer contributions than would otherwise be the case. These measures include, where circumstances permit:

- Extended deficit recovery periods: and
- Pooling.

The Administering Authority's policies in respect of the use of these approaches are set out in the remainder of 3.7 and in 3.8 below.

3.7.2 Stabilisation of contributions for the most secure employers

For the most secure, long term employers there is an explicit stabilisation overlay. The actuary analyses a number of metrics over the long-term (around 20 years), including the evolution of the funding level to check the likelihood of achieving the solvency of the Fund over the longer-term under a variety of contribution strategies. This analysis enables the Administering Authority to reduce the effect of short term investment market volatility on the contribution rates of eligible employers.

Circumstances in which eligibility for stabilisation will be reviewed

- The Administering Authority may review an employer's eligibility for stabilisation at any time in the event of significant changes in the employer's membership (due for example to redundancies or outsourcing) or if there is a significant change in the Administering Authority's assessment of an employer's security. Possible actions may include increases in contributions expressed as a percentage of pay or revised deficit contributions expressed as monetary amounts.
- Stabilisation rules and eligibility may be reviewed at any time in the event of changes to scheme benefits. Changes in scheme benefits may arise because of changes in regulations or other events that have a material impact (such as the change with effect from April 2011 from RPI to CPI for increases to pensions

in payment).

 The stabilisation rules and eligibility criteria will be reviewed no later than at the 31 March 2013 valuation, with any changes in contribution strategy taking effect from 1 April 2014. The review will take into account factors including but not necessarily restricted to market conditions (the long-term risk-based analysis will be recalibrated to market conditions as at 31 March 2013), the Administering Authority's assessment of employer's security and the maturity of each employer's membership profile.

Setting the parameters of the stabilisation overlay

The parameters for the stabilisation overlay have been determined by carrying out an asset liability modelling exercise. This allows for the future uncertainty in investment returns, interest rates and inflation using a stochastic modelling technique. The actuary tested the contribution stabilisation rules to ensure that they were compatible with the current investment strategy. He has advised the Administering Authority that the stabilisation overlay for secure long term employers satisfies the requirement for the funding strategy to take a prudent longer-term view. The actuary believes that there is a sufficiently high likelihood of achieving the long term funding objective (a funding level of 100% on a sufficiently prudent basis) where contributions are paid at the stabilised rate.

3.7.3 Deficit Recovery Periods

The Administering Authority instructs the actuary to adopt specific deficit recovery periods for all employers when calculating their contributions.

The Administering Authority normally targets the recovery of any deficit over a period not exceeding 20 years. However, these are subject to the maximum lengths set out in the table below.

Type of Employer	Maximum Length of Deficit Recovery Period
Statutory Bodies with tax raising powers	a period not exceeding 20 years
Community Admission Bodies	a period not exceeding 14 years
	the period from the start of the revised contributions to the end of the employer's contract but not exceeding 20 years

This *maximum* period is used in calculating each employer's *minimum* contributions. Employers may opt to pay higher regular contributions than these minimum rates.

The deficit recovery period starts at the commencement of the revised contribution rate (1 April 2011 for 2014 valuation). The Administering Authority would normally expect the same period to be used at successive triennial valuations, but would reserve the right

to propose alternative spreading periods, for example to improve the stability of contributions.

3.7.4 Surplus Spreading Periods

As part of the overall Funding Strategy it was agreed to adopt a 'stabilisation mechanism' that limits increases and reductions in contribution rates for public sector bodies: see 3.7.2 above. Therefore any emerging surplus will not reduce their contributions outside the pre-determined range.

For Transferee Admission Bodies, the aim is to be 100% funded at cessation, and so the preferred approach would be to reduce contributions by spreading the surplus over the remaining contract term, although the approach taken may be discussed and agreed with the Scheme Employer associated with the body under Regulation 6.

Any other employers deemed to be in surplus the preferred approach would be to maintain contributions at the future service level. However, reductions may be permitted to reduce contributions below the cost of accruing benefits, by spreading the surplus element over the maximum periods shown above for deficits in calculating their minimum contributions.

To help meet the stability requirement, employers outside the stabilisation mechanism may prefer not to take such reductions.

3.7.5 Phasing in of Contribution Rises

Any contribution rate rises will be subject to the "stabilisation mechanism" set out in 3.7.2 above for public sector bodies. Other bodies, with the exception of Transferee Admission Bodies, may be permitted to phase in contribution rises over a period of three years.

3.7.6 Phasing in of Contribution Reductions

Any contribution reductions will be subject to the 'stabilisation mechanism' set out in 3.7.2 above for public sector bodies. Other bodies including Transferee Admission Bodies can take the reduction with immediate effect, subject to paragraph 3.7.2 above.

3.7.7 The Effect of Opting for Longer Spreading or Phasing-In

Employers which are permitted and elect to use a longer deficit spreading period than was used at the 2007 valuation or to phase-in contribution changes will be assumed to incur a greater loss of investment returns on the deficit by opting to defer repayment. Thus, deferring paying contributions will lead to higher contributions in the long-term.

However, other than where the stabilisation mechanism is being applied, any adjustment is expressed for different employers the overriding principle is that the discounted value of the contribution adjustment adopted for each employer will be equivalent to the employer's deficit.

3.7.8 Pooled Contributions

Panago Majako da sarri sarri sa Basalan Basa Angalan

3.7.8.1 Smaller Employers

The Administering Authority allows smaller employers of similar types to pool their contributions as a way of sharing experience and smoothing out the effects of costly but relatively rare events such as ill-health retirements or deaths in service. The maximum number of active members to participate in a pool is set at 50 employees.

Community Admission Bodies that are deemed by the Administering Authority to have closed to new entrants are not permitted to participate in a pool. Transferee Admission Bodies are also ineligible for pooling.

For both the 2007 and 2010 valuations, a separate pool was operated for Town and Parish Councils

3.7.8.2 Other Contribution Pools

Schools are also pooled with their funding Council.

Those employers that have been pooled are identified in Annex A.

3.7.9 Additional flexibility in return for added security

Where the above methods for improving stability of employer contributions do not automatically apply, the Administering Authority may permit a reduced rate of contribution and/or an extended deficit recovery period. Or permit the employer to join a pool with another body (e.g. the Local Authority). If the employer provides added security to the satisfaction of the Administering Authority. Such security may include, but is not limited to, as a suitable bond, a guarantee from an appropriate third party, or security over an employer asset of sufficient value.

The degree of flexibility given may take into account factors such as:

- the extent of the employer's deficit;
- the amount and quality of the security offered;
- the employer's financial security and business plan; and
- whether the admission agreement is likely to be open or closed to new entrants.

The administering authority recognises that there may occasionally be particular circumstances affecting individual employers that are not easily managed within the rules and policies set out in the Funding Strategy Statement. The administering authority may, at its sole discretion, agree alternative funding approaches on a case by case basis but will at all times taking into account its responsibilities in regard to the security of the Fund.

3.8 Regular reviews

The Administering Authority reserves the right to review contribution rates and amounts, and the level of security provided, at regular intervals. These intervals may be annual, in the case of Admission Bodies and/or in the last few years of the employer's contract. Such reviews may be triggered by significant reductions in payroll, altered employer circumstances, Government restructuring affecting the employer's business, or failure to

Page No. 12 Feb 2011

pay contributions or arrange appropriate security as required by the Administering Authority.

The result of a review may be to require increased contributions payable (by strengthening the actuarial assumptions adopted and/or moving to monetary levels of deficit recovery contributions), an increased level of security or guarantee, or some combination of these.

3.9 Admission Bodies ceasing

Admission Agreements for Transferee Admission Bodies are assumed to expire at the end of the contract.

Admission Agreements for other employers are generally assumed to be open-ended but can be terminated at any point subject to the terms of the agreement.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the admission agreement, the Administering Authority considers any of the following as triggers for the termination of an admission agreement with any type of body:

- Last active member ceasing participation in the Fund;
- The insolvency, winding up or liquidation of the Admission Body;
- Any breach by the Admission Body of any of its obligations under the agreement that they have failed to remedy to the satisfaction of the Fund;
- A failure by the Admission Body to pay any sums due to the Fund within the period required by the Fund; or
- The failure by the Admission Body to renew or adjust the level of the bond or indemnity, or to confirm an appropriate alternative guarantor, as required by the Fund.

In addition, either party can voluntarily terminate the Admission Agreement by giving the appropriate period of notice as set out in the Admission Agreement to the other party (or parties in the case of a Transferee Admission Body).

If an Admission Body's admission agreement is terminated, the Administering Authority instructs the Fund actuary to carry out a special valuation under Regulation 78 to determine whether there is any surplus or deficit.

The assumptions adopted to value the departing employer's liabilities for this valuation will depend upon the circumstances. For example:

- (a) For Transferee Admission Bodies, the assumptions would usually be those used for an ongoing valuation to be consistent with those used to calculate the initial transfer of assets to accompany the active member liabilities transferred.
- (b) For non Transferee Admission Bodies whose participation is voluntarily ended either by themselves or the Fund, or where a cessation event has been triggered,

the Administering Authority must look to protect the interests of other ongoing employers. The actuary will therefore adopt valuation assumptions which, to the extent reasonably practicable, protect the other employers from the likelihood of any material loss emerging in future. Where there is a guarantor, the cessation valuation will normally be calculated using an ongoing valuation basis appropriate to the investment strategy. Where a guarantor does not exist then, in order to protect other employers in the Fund, the cessation liabilities and final deficit will normally be calculated using a "gilts cessation basis" with no allowance for potential future investment outperformance and with an allowance for further future improvements in life expectancy. This could give rise to significant payments being required.

(c) For Admission Bodies with guarantors, the default position is that the Admission Body is expected to take full responsibility for its own funding. There may be circumstances where it is agreed that it is possible that any deficit could be transferred to the guarantor in which case it may be possible to simply transfer the former Admission Bodies members and assets to the guarantor, without needing to crystallise any deficit.

Under (a) and (b), any shortfall would be levied on the departing Admission Body (normally) as a capital payment.



Page No. 14

Feb 2011

3.10 Early Retirement Costs

3.10.1 Non III Health retirements

The actuary's funding basis makes no allowance for premature retirement except on grounds of ill-health. All employers, irrespective of whether or not they are pooled, are required to pay additional contributions whenever an employee retires "early" (see below) with no reduction to their benefit or receives an enhanced pension on retirement. Advice and guidance on the costs of these are specified by the Fund's actuary from time to time.

It is assumed that members' benefits on age retirement are payable from the earliest age that the employee could retire without incurring a reduction to their benefit and without requiring their employer's consent to retire. Members receiving their pension unreduced before this age other than on ill-health grounds are deemed to have retired "early".

The additional costs of premature retirement are calculated by reference to these ages.

3.10.2 III health monitoring

The Fund monitors each employer's, or pool of employers, ill health experience. If the cumulative amount of ill health retirements in any financial year exceeds the allowance at the previous valuation, the Administering Authority reserves the right to charge the employer with additional contributions on the same basis as apply for non ill-health cases.

3.10.3 III health insurance

If an employer provides satisfactory evidence to the Administering Authority of a current insurance policy covering ill health early retirement strains, then:

- the employer's contribution to the Fund each year is reduced by the amount of that year's insurance premium, so that the total contribution is unchanged;
- there is no need for monitoring of allowances.

The employer must keep the Administering Authority notified of any changes in the insurance policy's coverage or premium terms, or if the policy is ceased.

4. Links to Investment Strategy

Funding and investment strategy are inextricably linked. Investment strategy is set by the Administering Authority, after consultation with the employers and after taking investment advice.

4.1 Investment Strategy

The investment strategy currently being pursued is described in the Fund's Statement of Investment Principles.

The investment strategy is set for the long-term, but is reviewed from time to time, normally every three years, to ensure that it remains appropriate to the Fund's liability profile. The Administering Authority has adopted a benchmark, which sets the proportion of assets to be invested in key asset classes such as equities, bonds and property. As at May 2010 the benchmark for the Fund's assets was 65% in equities, 20% in bonds, 13% in property and 2% in currency.

The investment strategy of lowest risk – but not necessarily the most cost-effective in the long-term – would be one which provides cashflows which replicate the expected benefit cashflows (i.e. the liabilities). Equity investment would not be consistent with this.

The Fund's benchmark includes a significant holding in equities in the pursuit of long-term higher returns than from a liability matching strategy. The Administering Authority's strategy recognises the relatively immature liabilities of the Fund, the security of member's benefits and the secure nature of most employers' covenants.

The same investment strategy is currently followed for all employers. The Administering Authority does not currently have the facility to operate different investment strategies for different employers. This had been considered as part of the 2004 Actuarial Valuation in the context of reducing the volatility of employers' contribution rates, but at present the cost-benefit balance of such an arrangement does not make it justifiable. However, it will be kept under review.

4.2 Consistency with Funding Basis

In the opinion of the Fund actuary, the current funding policy is consistent with the current investment strategy of the Fund, the asset outperformance assumption is within a range that would be considered acceptable for the purposes of the funding valuation and consistent with the requirement to take a "prudent longer-term view" of the funding of liabilities (see para 2.1).

The funding basis adopts an asset outperformance assumption of 1.6% per annum over and above the redemption yield on index-linked gilts. Both the Fund's Actuary and its Investment Adviser consider that the funding basis does conform to the requirement to take a "prudent longer-term" approach to funding.

The Administering Authority is aware that in the short term – such as the three yearly assessments at formal valuations – the proportion of the Fund invested in equities brings the possibility of considerable volatility and there is a material chance that in the short-term and even medium term, asset returns will fall short of the outperformance target. The stability measures described in Section 3 will damp down, but not remove, the effect on employers' contributions.

The Fund does not hold a contingency reserve to protect it against the volatility of equity investments.

4.3 Balance between risk and reward

When deciding its current investment strategy in 2006, the Administering Authority considered the balance between risk and reward by altering the level of investment in potentially higher return asset classes like equities. This process was informed by the use of Asset-Liability techniques to model the range of potential future solvency levels and contribution rates.

In the light of the sensitivity of employers' contributions to changes in investment returns, in 2004 the Administering Authority reviewed whether its single strategy should be refined, in consultation with the employer bodies. However, the results showed that whilst all employers' contributions were significantly volatile, there are only a very few smaller employers whose volatility profile is significantly different to the average. Therefore, given the cost of establishing and administering multiple investment strategies, it was decided that they could not be justified for the present. However, the situation will be kept under review (see paragraph 4.4).

4.4 Inter-valuation Monitoring of Funding Position

The Administering Authority intends to monitor investment performance relative to the growth in the liabilities by means of quarterly inter-valuation monitoring reports. It will report back to employers if and when this monitoring suggests that changes to investment or contribution strategy should be considered (see paragraph 4.3).

Page No. 17 Feb 2011

5. Key Risks & Controls

5.1 Types of Risk

The Administering Authority's has an active risk management programme in place. The measures that the Administering Authority has in place to control key risks are summarised below under the following headings:

- financial;
- demographic;
- regulatory; and
- governance.

5.2 Financial Risks

Risk	Summary of Control Mechanisms
Fund assets fail to deliver returns in	Only anticipate long-term return on a relatively prudent
line with the anticipated returns	basis to reduce risk of under-performing.
underpinning valuation of liabilities over the long-term	Commission a quarterly funding update for the Fund
	as a whole, on an approximate basis.
	Analyse progress at three yearly valuations for all employers.
	Inter-valuation monitoring of liabilities relative to assets
	between formal valuations at individual employer level
	on a six monthly basis
Inappropriate long-term investment	Set Fund-specific bendamark, informed by Asset-
strategy	L iability modelling of liabilities.
	Continue to review feasibility of allowing some form of
	employer-specific investment strategy.
	Inter-valuation monitoring, as above.
	Ther-cumunt Hornoring, as acoce.
Fall in risk-free returns on	Inter-valuation monitoring, as above.
Government bonds, leading to rise in value placed on liabilities	Some investment in bonds helps to mitigate this risk.
value placed of indomines	2012 Integral in columnation in the final
Active investment manager under-	Short term (quarterly) and long-term (3-5 years)
performance relative to benchmark	investment monitoring analyses market performance and active managers relative to their index benchmark.
	0

Pay and price inflation significantly more than anticipated	The focus of the actuarial valuation process is on real returns on assets, net of price and pay increases.
	Inter-valuation monitoring, as above, gives early warning.
	Some investment in index-linked bonds also helps to mitigate this risk.
	Employers pay for their own salary awards and are reminded of the geared effect on pension liabilities of any bias in pensionable pay rises towards longer-serving employees.
Effect of possible increase in	An explicit stabilisation mechanism has been agreed as
employer's contribution rate on	part of the Funding Strategy.
service delivery and admission/scheduled bodies	

5.3 Demographic Risks

Risk	Summary of Control Mechanisms
Pensioners living longer.	Set mortality assumptions with some allowance for future increases in life expectancy.
	Sensitivity analysis in triennial valuation calculations helps employers understand the potential impact of life expectancy.
	Fund actuary monitors combined experience of around 50 LGPS funds to look for early warnings of lower pension amounts ceasing than assumed in funding.
	Administering Authority encourages any employers concerned at costs to promote later retirement culture. Each 1 year rise in the accrage age at retirement would sace roughly 5% of pension costs.
Maturing Fund – i.e. proportion of actively contributing employees declines relative to retired employees.	Continue to monitor at each valuation, consider seeking monetary amounts rather than % of pay and consider alternative investment strategies.
Deteriorating patterns of early retirements	Employers are charged the extra capital cost of non ill health retirements following each individual decision.
	Employer ill health retirement experience is monitored.

5.4 Regulatory

Risk	Summary of Control Mechanisms
Changes to regulations, e.g. more favourable benefits package, potential new entrants to scheme, e.g. part-time employees	The Administering Authority is alert to the potential creation of additional liabilities and administrative difficulties for employers and itself. It considers all consultation papers issued by the
Changes to national pension requirements and/or HM Revenue & Customs rules e.g. effect of abolition of earnings cap for post 1989 entrants from April 2006	Government and comments where appropriate. The results of the Hutton review are not expected to affect the Fund until after the 2013 valuation, and so will be incorporated at that time. Any changes to member contribution rates or benefit levels will be carefully communicated with members to minimise possible opt-outs or adverse actions.
	The Administering Authority will consult employers where it considers that it is appropriate.

5.5 Governance

Risk	Summary of Control Mechanisms
Administering Authority unaware of structural changes in an employer's membership (e.g. large fall in employee members, large number of retirements). Administering Authority not advised of an employer closing to new entrants.	The Administering Authority monitors membership movements on an annual basis, via a report from the administrator. The Actuary may be instructed to consider revising the Rates and Adjustments certificate to increase an employer's contributions (under Regulation 78) between triennial valuations Deficit contributions are expressed as monetary amounts in most cases (see Annex A).
Administering Authority failing to commission the Fund Actuary to carry out a termination valuation for a departing Admission Body and losing the opportunity to call in a debt.	In addition to the Administering Authority monitoring membership movements on a quarterly basis, it requires employers with Transferee contractors to inform it of forthcoming changes. It also operates a diary system to alert it to the forthcoming termination of Transferee Admission Agreements.

An employer ceasing to exist with insufficient funding or adequacy of a bond.

The Administering Authority believes that it would normally be too late to address the position if it was left to the time of departure.

The risk is mitigated by:

- Seeking a funding guarantee from another scheme employer, or external body, where-ever possible.
- Alerting the prospective employer to its obligations and encouraging it to take independent actuarial advice.
- V etting prospective employers before admission.
- Where permitted under the regulations requiring a bond to protect the scheme from the extra cost of early retirements on redundancy if the employer failed.



Page No. 21

Annex A – Employers' Contributions, Spreading and Phasing Periods

Following the 2010 valuation, the minimum employer contributions shown in the Rates and Adjustment certificate attached to the 2010 valuation report are based on the deficit recovery periods and phasing periods shown in the table below. The table also shows the individual adjustments under Regulation 77(6) to each employer's contributions from the 'Common Contribution Rate'.

[Table to be inserted after the final Rates & Adjustments Certificate is signed]



Page No. 22 Feb 2011

Annex B – Responsibilities of Key Parties

The Administering Authority should:-

- collect employer and employee contributions;
- invest surplus monies in accordance with the regulations;
- ensure that cash is available to meet liabilities as and when they fall due;
- manage the valuation process in consultation with the fund's actuary;
- prepare and maintain and FSS and a SIP, both after proper consultation with interested parties; and
- monitor all aspects of the fund's performance and funding and amend FSS/SIP

The Individual Employer should:-

- deduct contributions from employees' pay correctly;
- pay all contributions, including their own as determined by the actuary, promptly by the due date;
- exercise discretions within the regulatory framework;
- make additional contributions in accordance with agreed arrangements in respect of, for example, augmentation of scheme benefits, early retirement strain; excess ill health early retirements if appropriate; and
- notify the administering authorities promptly of all changes to membership or, as may be proposed, which affect future funding.

The Fund Actuary should:-

- prepare valuations including the setting of employers' contribution rates after agreeing assumptions with the Administering Authority and having regard to the FSS; and
- prepare advice and calculations in connection with bulk transfers and individual benefitrelated matters.

Page No. 23 Feb 2011